104U MEDETTION 11/1/29

CROWELL & MORING

1100 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N. W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036

NPC-UZ-3-49

(202) 452-5800

CABLE: CROMOR

TELECOPIER: 202-452-5970

W. U. L. (INTERNATIONAL) 84344

W. U. (POMESTIC) 89-2448

94394-010 012:jcd

RIDGWAY M. HALL, JR. (202) 462-5452

October 22, 1984

Lisa K. Friedman, Esquire Associate General Counsel Solid Waste and Emergency Response (LE-132S) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 401 M Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20460

> Re: Proposed Amendment to CERCLA National Priorities List, 49 Fed.Reg. 40320 et seq. (October 15, 1984)

Dear Lisa:

Pursuant to our conversation this morning, I enclose herewith a copy of my letter of October 19 to Bill Hedeman requesting a 60-day extension of the comment period to February 12, 1985. I am also sending a copy of this to Ellen Siegler, as you requested.

We represent Williams Charles Ltd. and Winnebago Reclamation Service, Inc. of Loves Park, Illinois. They are the owner and operator, respectively, of a solid waste management facility identified on the proposed NPL amendment as Pagel's Pit. The purpose of the requested extension, as stated in the letter, is to allow time for Warzyn Engineering Inc. of Madison, Wisconsin, to conduct a comprehensive groundwater study and make those results available to our client and to EPA in time for careful consideration prior to final action on whether or not to add Pagel's Pit to the NPL.

As I mentioned this morning, I discussed this matter with Joe Gearo of the Superfund office. While he said that he could not at this time take a position on whether the comment date would be formally extended, he was most receptive to need for EPA not to take final action until the results of this study are completed and time for comment and evaluation on it allowed.

Lisa K. Friedman, Esquire October 22, 1984 Page Two

He indicated that while he could not say at this point whether the comment period would be formally extended, one option would be to continue Pagel's Pit in a "proposed" status until the study had been completed and ample opportunity allowed for review and comment. This, of course, is the main thing that our client wishes. Mr. Gearo indicated that he would be discussing the various options with your office. Given the shortness of time under any circumstances, I spoke with our client this morning, and he has decided to go forward and ask the contractor to proceed with the work as expeditiously as possible.

Sincerely yours,

Ringway M. Hall, Jr.

Enclosure

cc(w/enclosure): Ellen Siegler, Esquire
Office of General Counsel, EPA (LE-132W)